In The Matter Of:

PUBLIC WORKS DIVISION VIDEO CONFERENCE BOARD MEETING

November 1, 2017

Capitol Reporters 208 N. Curry Street

Carson City, Nevada 89703

Original File 110117 PWorks.txt Min-U-Script® with Word Index

				November 1, 2017
		Page 1		Page 3
1		STATE OF NEVADA	1	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2017,
2	PIIRI.TO WODKS איז ה	VISION VIDEO CONFERENCE BOARD MEETING	-	9:27 A.M.
3	TODILC MORES DI	ISION VIDEO CONFERENCE DOARD MEEIING	2	
4	WED	NESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 2017	3	
5		9:00 A.M.	4	CHAIR CLUTTS: Good morning. We're going to
6		J:00 A.M.	5	get started. It's about 27 after 9:00. I apologize for
7		CARSON CITY, NEVADA		being late. This is the time and place for the State
8				Public Works Board meeting for November 1st, 2017.
9			8	0
10	THE BOARD:	BRVCE CLIMTS Chairperson	9	······································
11	THE DOARD.	BRYCE CLUTTS, Chairperson SEAN STEWART, Vice-Chair GUS NUNEZ, Administrator	10	
12		PATRICK CATES, Member CHRIS CHIMITS,	11	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Vice-Chair Sean Stewart?
13		Deputy Administrator	13	
14		TITO TIBERTI, Member KEVIN LEWIS, Member CLINT BENTLEY, Member	14	
15		ADAM HAND, Member	15	
16			16	
17	FOR THE BOARD:	SUSAN STEWART, Construction Law Counsel	17	
18		JEFF MENICUCCI,	18	
19		Deputy Attorney General	19	
20		LAURA ADAIR, Administrative Assistant IV	20	
21		manipulative Applotatit IV	21	
22			22	
23	REPORTED BY:	CAPITOL REPORTERS BY: Nicole Alexander,	23	
24		Nevada CCR #446 123 West Nye Lane	24	
25		Carson City, Nevada 89706	25	Mr. Chair.
		Down 0		Door 4
1		Page 2		Page 4
2	AGENDA ITEM	AGENDA/INDEX PAGE	1	3
3	1. Roll Call	74GE 3	2	8
4	2. Public Comment			any public comment in the north?
5	2. Fubric Comment		4	
6		Action: Acceptance and Approval 8 ks Board Meeting Minutes:	5	J 1
7	July 25, 2017			comment be limited to five minutes. Please state your
8	4. CIP Update	9	7	name, sir.
9		5	8	MR. CORRADO: My name is Paul J. Corrado:
10	5. Project Manage	er's Training 12		C-o-r-r-a-d-o. 4100 Meadowood Road. Carson City, Nevada 89703. And I'm a registered landscape architect here in
11		······································		Nevada, as well as California. But I'm also, at this
12	 Administrator Staffing 	's Report 13		time, a resident of Lakeview, a subdivision, about 200
13		- Adoption of new building codes		houses. And I believe you have a copy of my testimony,
14				is that correct, or not?
15	7. For Possible A Discussion	Action: Board Comment and 23	15	
16		to be Included in Future Agendas included in future agendas	16	
17	- Items to be - Review of a	ction items for SPWD Management	17	it anyway. But you have the electronic version for the
17 18	- Items to be - Review of a			it anyway. But you have the electronic version for the minutes of the meeting.
18	- Items to be - Review of a	ction items for SPWD Management meeting date if needed		minutes of the meeting.
18 19	- Items to be - Review of a - Set future	ction items for SPWD Management meeting date if needed	18 19	minutes of the meeting. First of all, we appreciate the DPW funding
18 19 20	- Items to be - Review of a - Set future	ction items for SPWD Management meeting date if needed	18 19 20	minutes of the meeting. First of all, we appreciate the DPW funding and providing the survey of the property line between the
18 19 20 21	- Items to be - Review of a - Set future 8. Public Commen	ction items for SPWD Management meeting date if needed t 24	18 19 20 21	minutes of the meeting. First of all, we appreciate the DPW funding and providing the survey of the property line between the State of Nevada land and the private ownership at Hobart
18 19 20 21 22	- Items to be - Review of a - Set future 8. Public Commen	ction items for SPWD Management meeting date if needed t 24	18 19 20 21 22	minutes of the meeting. First of all, we appreciate the DPW funding and providing the survey of the property line between the State of Nevada land and the private ownership at Hobart and Old 395. That was very enlightening, especially
18 19 20 21 22 23	- Items to be - Review of a - Set future 8. Public Commen	ction items for SPWD Management meeting date if needed t 24	18 19 20 21 22 23	minutes of the meeting. First of all, we appreciate the DPW funding and providing the survey of the property line between the State of Nevada land and the private ownership at Hobart
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	- Items to be - Review of a - Set future 8. Public Commen	ction items for SPWD Management meeting date if needed t 24	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	minutes of the meeting. First of all, we appreciate the DPW funding and providing the survey of the property line between the State of Nevada land and the private ownership at Hobart and Old 395. That was very enlightening, especially since NDOT had actually paved over a corner of the
18 19 20 21 22 23	- Items to be - Review of a - Set future 8. Public Commen	ction items for SPWD Management meeting date if needed t 24	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	minutes of the meeting. First of all, we appreciate the DPW funding and providing the survey of the property line between the State of Nevada land and the private ownership at Hobart and Old 395. That was very enlightening, especially since NDOT had actually paved over a corner of the property and used it, and uses it as part of the

			November 1, 2017
	Page 5		Page 7
1	Secondly, we have good relations with	1	Please. Let's resolve this issue amicably to all of
	Marlette Lake water system staff, who provide water to		those involved. And I appreciate your time. Thank you.
	Virginia City.	3	
4	Three: There is a single 570-linear foot	4	
	boundary along the south boundary of the Marlette Lake		other public comment before we go on?
	water system corporation yard. The Marlette Lake	6	
	property is about 2.99 acres, and constitutes an	7	
	industrial use surrounded by single-family residences on		record, sir.
	four sides, including a home on the National Register of	9	
	Historic Sites.		resident of Lakeview, 4000 Meadowood.
11	There are significant drainage issues, which	11	I sent a letter to Nunez and Clutts and
	were particularly pronounced last year, associated with		didn't get a response. So I'm here just to read the two
	and impacting a single-family residence at our front door		bullets out of the letter and leave a picture of the
	of our subdivision. There is a demonstrated need for a		corporate yard, when it was in use by NDOT, and a plot
	screen, comprising both vegetative and fence material,		plan layout of the property of Andrew, the property owner
	and it has been brought to the attention of authorities		adjacent to the corporate yard.
	since March of 2016. We go by there four times a day.	17	Given our keen interest in providing a
	And if this was your house, I'm sure you would also have		visually-acceptable front door to our community, we would
	some issues associated with an industrial use juxtaposed		like to offer to negotiate some form of donation or
	to a residential.		recompense for the State's expense, subject to the
21	Our neighborhood, and most especially the		Lakeview property owner's association approval of your
	residents adjacent to the Marlette Lake Water System		screening effects of the industrial use of the Marlette
	Corporation Yard, have been negatively impacted A:		Lake Corporation Yard.
	visually with incompatible uses of industrial and	24	
	residential juxtaposed, toxic dust and water generated		above offer, the opportunity to review and approve the
	J		
	Page 6		Page 8
1		1	-
	from the site, drainage issues that have not been		scope of the project and any and all plans and
2	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially	2	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening
2 3	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours	2 3	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard.
2 3 4	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area.	2 3 4	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be
2 3 4 5	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for	2 3 4 5	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with
2 3 4 5 6	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a	2 3 4 5 6	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who
2 3 4 5 6 7	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including	2 3 4 5 6 7	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in	2 3 4 5 6 7	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue,
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on	2 3 6 7 8 9	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register. The following is what would be required if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just going to submit this. And, hopefully, I hear something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register. The following is what would be required if the land was on private property. In other words, Carson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just going to submit this. And, hopefully, I hear something from you folks. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register. The following is what would be required if the land was on private property. In other words, Carson City if this was going to be approved with industrial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just going to submit this. And, hopefully, I hear something from you folks. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, sir. Is there any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register. The following is what would be required if the land was on private property. In other words, Carson City if this was going to be approved with industrial use next to residential use, it is from the development	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just going to submit this. And, hopefully, I hear something from you folks. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, sir. Is there any other public comment? Okay. There's nothing down south.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register. The following is what would be required if the land was on private property. In other words, Carson City if this was going to be approved with industrial use next to residential use, it is from the development standards of Carson City, so it's not anything unusual.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just going to submit this. And, hopefully, I hear something from you folks. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, sir. Is there any other public comment? Okay. There's nothing down south. Moving onto Agenda Item Number 3 for possible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register. The following is what would be required if the land was on private property. In other words, Carson City if this was going to be approved with industrial use next to residential use, it is from the development standards of Carson City, so it's not anything unusual. Quote, "Storage areas" shall be enclosed by a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just going to submit this. And, hopefully, I hear something from you folks. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, sir. Is there any other public comment? Okay. There's nothing down south. Moving onto Agenda Item Number 3 for possible action: Acceptance and approval of Public Works Board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register. The following is what would be required if the land was on private property. In other words, Carson City if this was going to be approved with industrial use next to residential use, it is from the development standards of Carson City, so it's not anything unusual. Quote, "Storage areas" shall be enclosed by a 100-percent site-obscuring fence or wall permanently	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just going to submit this. And, hopefully, I hear something from you folks. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, sir. Is there any other public comment? Okay. There's nothing down south. Moving onto Agenda Item Number 3 for possible action: Acceptance and approval of Public Works Board meeting minutes for July 25th, 2017. In addition to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register. The following is what would be required if the land was on private property. In other words, Carson City if this was going to be approved with industrial use next to residential use, it is from the development standards of Carson City, so it's not anything unusual. Quote, "Storage areas" shall be enclosed by a 100-percent site-obscuring fence or wall permanently installed and maintained to a minimum height of 6 feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just going to submit this. And, hopefully, I hear something from you folks. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, sir. Is there any other public comment? Okay. There's nothing down south. Moving onto Agenda Item Number 3 for possible action: Acceptance and approval of Public Works Board meeting minutes for July 25th, 2017. In addition to the changes noted, are there any other comments to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register. The following is what would be required if the land was on private property. In other words, Carson City if this was going to be approved with industrial use next to residential use, it is from the development standards of Carson City, so it's not anything unusual. Quote, "Storage areas" shall be enclosed by a 100-percent site-obscuring fence or wall permanently installed and maintained to a minimum height of 6 feet. No materials and/or equipment shall be stored therein, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just going to submit this. And, hopefully, I hear something from you folks. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, sir. Is there any other public comment? Okay. There's nothing down south. Moving onto Agenda Item Number 3 for possible action: Acceptance and approval of Public Works Board meeting minutes for July 25th, 2017. In addition to the changes noted, are there any other comments to the meeting minutes? Seeing none, I'll entertain a motion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register. The following is what would be required if the land was on private property. In other words, Carson City if this was going to be approved with industrial use next to residential use, it is from the development standards of Carson City, so it's not anything unusual. Quote, "Storage areas" shall be enclosed by a 100-percent site-obscuring fence or wall permanently installed and maintained to a minimum height of 6 feet. No materials and/or equipment shall be stored therein, to a height exceeding that of the wall or fence."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just going to submit this. And, hopefully, I hear something from you folks. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, sir. Is there any other public comment? Okay. There's nothing down south. Moving onto Agenda Item Number 3 for possible action: Acceptance and approval of Public Works Board meeting minutes for July 25th, 2017. In addition to the changes noted, are there any other comments to the meeting minutes? Seeing none, I'll entertain a motion for acceptance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register. The following is what would be required if the land was on private property. In other words, Carson City if this was going to be approved with industrial use next to residential use, it is from the development standards of Carson City, so it's not anything unusual. Quote, "Storage areas" shall be enclosed by a 100-percent site-obscuring fence or wall permanently installed and maintained to a minimum height of 6 feet. No materials and/or equipment shall be stored therein, to a height exceeding that of the wall or fence." I know this isn't an item on your agenda.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just going to submit this. And, hopefully, I hear something from you folks. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, sir. Is there any other public comment? Okay. There's nothing down south. Moving onto Agenda Item Number 3 for possible action: Acceptance and approval of Public Works Board meeting minutes for July 25th, 2017. In addition to the changes noted, are there any other comments to the meeting minutes? Seeing none, I'll entertain a motion for acceptance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	from the site, drainage issues that have not been addressed, and noise and light pollution, especially when, for instance, the corporation yard is used 24 hours a day, as it has been before, as an NDOT lay-down area. We have sent performance specifications for the resolution of the screening issues and asked to be a partner in the decisions concerning the screen, including an offer by the homeowner's association to participate in the cost of the screen. There currently exists a site screen, meeting almost all of the performance criteria on the property adjacent to the north of the home on the National Historic Register. The following is what would be required if the land was on private property. In other words, Carson City if this was going to be approved with industrial use next to residential use, it is from the development standards of Carson City, so it's not anything unusual. Quote, "Storage areas" shall be enclosed by a 100-percent site-obscuring fence or wall permanently installed and maintained to a minimum height of 6 feet. No materials and/or equipment shall be stored therein, to a height exceeding that of the wall or fence."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 scope of the project and any and all plans and specifications related to the construction of a screening of the corporation yard. Further, this review and approval will be conducted with the full consultation and cooperation with the most-impacted property owner, Mr. Andrew Fromdal, who shares the recently-surveyed property line of approximately 570 feet to ensure continuity. I am subcommittee chairperson for this issue, for the screening of the Marlette property. And like I said, I sent a letter, and then I sent an electronic copy, and I didn't get a response to that. So I'm just going to submit this. And, hopefully, I hear something from you folks. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, sir. Is there any other public comment? Okay. There's nothing down south. Moving onto Agenda Item Number 3 for possible action: Acceptance and approval of Public Works Board meeting minutes for July 25th, 2017. In addition to the changes noted, are there any other comments to the meeting minutes? Seeing none, I'll entertain a motion for acceptance. MEMBER STEWART: Motion to approve.

	Page 9		Page 11
1	motion to approve the minutes.	1	We had to report on proposed construction
2	Clint Bentley, second.		project and the project cost, the scope of the building.
3	All of those in favor?		We had to provide a schedule and a description of the
4	THE BOARD: Aye.		site and the location for the project, and then also an
5	CHAIR CLUTTS: Any opposed? Hearing none,		update on process of donors' funds, and how that was
6	moving onto Agenda Item Number 4: Update on capital		coming along.
	improvement plan.	7	On October 19th, we appeared before IFC,
8	Mr. Nunez or Mr. Chimits?	8	along with UNLV president and Dave Forman (phonetic),
9	DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Good morning.	9	from the construction staff, and reported that the
10	My name is Chris Chimits, deputy administrator, with the	10	project would be a 220,000-square-foot, nine-story
	State Public Works Commission. I passed out a rendering		building located on the 9.1-acre site at 625 Shadow Lane,
12	and then just an executive summary of the CIP.		in Las Vegas. The property is located on the southeast
13	So the 2017 CIP bill created 93 projects for		corner of Shadow Lane and Pinto Lane, right next to the
	us to execute. Seventeen of those are construction		City of Las Vegas Medical District.
	projects, 75 are deferred maintenance, and eight are	15	We gave the committee a schedule, which
	planning projects. As of today, 21 of those projects are		stated that the design would start this month, once we
	contracted with AE firms, six are contracted with CMAR		received the \$25 million check from UNLV. And Gus may
	firms, and included in the 17 projects, new-construction		want to elaborate. He got a phone call from the UNLV
	projects are a 58,000 square-foot DMV in southwest Reno, at a project cost of \$42 million.	19 20	president that he'll share with you later. We also told him that construction would
20	We have a 78,000-square-foot readiness center		start the end of October 2019, basically two-year design
	in North Las Vegas; project cost of \$37 million. And we		period, and then construction would be a three-year
	started a new 93,000-square-foot engineering building up		period following that. It would finish in August of
	at UNR; project cost of \$85 million; and a new		2022.
	102,000-square-foot vets' home up in Reno that's about 6	25	It's interesting to note that we're seeing an
	Page 10		Page 12
	percent complete with construction right now. Total		increase in projects for new construction now. We've
	project costs there are \$51 million.	2	been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years.
2 3	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were	2 3	been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're
2 3 4	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of	2 3 4	been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a
2 3 4 5	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this	2 3 4 5	been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this
2 3 4 5 6	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a	2 3 4 5 6	been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately
2 3 4 5 6 7	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're	2 3 4 5 6 7	been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost	2 3 6 7 8 9	been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a 65,000 square-foot education building at Nevada State	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any questions or comments from the Board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a 65,000 square-foot education building at Nevada State College, estimated to be a \$21 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The third one was a 50,000 square-foot engineering school at UNLV, which is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any questions or comments from the Board? Hearing none. Moving on to Agenda Item Number 5: Project manager training. Mr. Chimits? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a 65,000 square-foot education building at Nevada State College, estimated to be a \$21 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The third one was a 50,000 square-foot engineering school at UNLV, which is a \$31-million-dollar project. And the last one was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any questions or comments from the Board? Hearing none. Moving on to Agenda Item Number 5: Project manager training. Mr. Chimits? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Thank you. This won't take very long at all. We started acquiring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a 65,000 square-foot education building at Nevada State College, estimated to be a \$21 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The third one was a 50,000 square-foot engineering school at UNLV, which is a \$31-million-dollar project. And the last one was a planning and construction funding project for a new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any questions or comments from the Board? Hearing none. Moving on to Agenda Item Number 5: Project manager training. Mr. Chimits? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Thank you. This won't take very long at all. We started acquiring new staff members through retirement, people moving to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a 65,000 square-foot education building at Nevada State College, estimated to be a \$21 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The third one was a 50,000 square-foot engineering school at UNLV, which is a \$31-million-dollar project. And the last one was a planning and construction funding project for a new medical school at UNLV.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any questions or comments from the Board? Hearing none. Moving on to Agenda Item Number 5: Project manager training. Mr. Chimits? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Thank you. This won't take very long at all. We started acquiring new staff members through retirement, people moving to California. We've had to hire some new staff. And it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a 65,000 square-foot education building at Nevada State College, estimated to be a \$21 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The third one was a 50,000 square-foot engineering school at UNLV, which is a \$31-million-dollar project. And the last one was a planning and construction funding project for a new medical school at UNLV. This last project was a surprise project.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any questions or comments from the Board? Hearing none. Moving on to Agenda Item Number 5: Project manager training. Mr. Chimits? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Thank you. This won't take very long at all. We started acquiring new staff members through retirement, people moving to California. We've had to hire some new staff. And it occurred to me that we needed to start a more-formal
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a 65,000 square-foot education building at Nevada State College, estimated to be a \$21 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The third one was a 50,000 square-foot engineering school at UNLV, which is a \$31-million-dollar project. And the last one was a planning and construction funding project for a new medical school at UNLV. This last project was a surprise project. And as you recall, it came out in a separate CIP bill.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any questions or comments from the Board? Hearing none. Moving on to Agenda Item Number 5: Project manager training. Mr. Chimits? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Thank you. This won't take very long at all. We started acquiring new staff members through retirement, people moving to California. We've had to hire some new staff. And it occurred to me that we needed to start a more-formal training, what we call protocol, for our new staff.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a 65,000 square-foot education building at Nevada State College, estimated to be a \$21 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The third one was a 50,000 square-foot engineering school at UNLV, which is a \$31-million-dollar project. And the last one was a planning and construction funding project for a new medical school at UNLV. This last project was a surprise project. And as you recall, it came out in a separate CIP bill. It was Bill 552, which allocated \$25 million in state	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any questions or comments from the Board? Hearing none. Moving on to Agenda Item Number 5: Project manager training. Mr. Chimits? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Thank you. This won't take very long at all. We started acquiring new staff members through retirement, people moving to California. We've had to hire some new staff. And it occurred to me that we needed to start a more-formal training, what we call protocol, for our new staff. So in September we started that this last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a 65,000 square-foot education building at Nevada State College, estimated to be a \$21 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The third one was a 50,000 square-foot engineering school at UNLV, which is a \$31-million-dollar project. And the last one was a planning and construction funding project for a new medical school at UNLV. This last project was a surprise project. And as you recall, it came out in a separate CIP bill. It was Bill 552, which allocated \$25 million in state money, and \$25 million of donor funds to the interim	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any questions or comments from the Board? Hearing none. Moving on to Agenda Item Number 5: Project manager training. Mr. Chimits? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Thank you. This won't take very long at all. We started acquiring new staff members through retirement, people moving to California. We've had to hire some new staff. And it occurred to me that we needed to start a more-formal training, what we call protocol, for our new staff. So in September we started that this last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a 65,000 square-foot education building at Nevada State College, estimated to be a \$21 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The third one was a 50,000 square-foot engineering school at UNLV, which is a \$31-million-dollar project. And the last one was a planning and construction funding project for a new medical school at UNLV. This last project was a surprise project. And as you recall, it came out in a separate CIP bill. It was Bill 552, which allocated \$25 million in state money, and \$25 million of donor funds to the interim finance committee, and then required the State Public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any questions or comments from the Board? Hearing none. Moving on to Agenda Item Number 5: Project manager training. Mr. Chimits? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Thank you. This won't take very long at all. We started acquiring new staff members through retirement, people moving to California. We've had to hire some new staff. And it occurred to me that we needed to start a more-formal training, what we call protocol, for our new staff. So in September we started that this last September. And you'll see, in your book, your Tab 5 staff-training agenda, there's 14 items on here. And,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a 65,000 square-foot education building at Nevada State College, estimated to be a \$21 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The third one was a 50,000 square-foot engineering school at UNLV, which is a \$31-million-dollar project. And the last one was a planning and construction funding project for a new medical school at UNLV. This last project was a surprise project. And as you recall, it came out in a separate CIP bill. It was Bill 552, which allocated \$25 million in state money, and \$25 million of donor funds to the interim finance committee, and then required the State Public Works to come before them to report on four or five	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any questions or comments from the Board? Hearing none. Moving on to Agenda Item Number 5: Project manager training. Mr. Chimits? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Thank you. This won't take very long at all. We started acquiring new staff members through retirement, people moving to California. We've had to hire some new staff. And it occurred to me that we needed to start a more-formal training, what we call protocol, for our new staff. So in September we started that this last September. And you'll see, in your book, your Tab 5 staff-training agenda, there's 14 items on here. And, basically, what we're doing is, we're taking an hour and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	project costs there are \$51 million. The CIP bill included four projects that were added on at the end of the legislative session. Three of those came before the August meeting in 2016. So this board saw three of those projects. The fourth was a surprise project. The four additional projects: We're planning for a new 7,000 square-foot health science building that had a \$41 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The next one was planning for a 65,000 square-foot education building at Nevada State College, estimated to be a \$21 million-dollar construction cost estimate. The third one was a 50,000 square-foot engineering school at UNLV, which is a \$31-million-dollar project. And the last one was a planning and construction funding project for a new medical school at UNLV. This last project was a surprise project. And as you recall, it came out in a separate CIP bill. It was Bill 552, which allocated \$25 million in state money, and \$25 million of donor funds to the interim finance committee, and then required the State Public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 been doing deferred maintenance for the last seven years. And this 2017 CIP, with the planning projects that we're having at the end, essentially created for us a \$700 million-dollar CIP program. So, in effect, this will alter our staff and cause it to grow approximately four professional positions and a couple of support positions. That concludes my report on the CIP. Thank you. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any questions or comments from the Board? Hearing none. Moving on to Agenda Item Number 5: Project manager training. Mr. Chimits? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Thank you. This won't take very long at all. We started acquiring new staff members through retirement, people moving to California. We've had to hire some new staff. And it occurred to me that we needed to start a more-formal training, what we call protocol, for our new staff. So in September we started that this last September. And you'll see, in your book, your Tab 5 staff-training agenda, there's 14 items on here. And,

	Page 13		Page 15
	formulated what follows our agenda, our project manager's general task list. And so we're training new people and		after the IFC meeting, I did receive a call from president Lynn Jessup, and he's indicated that he'll be
	the people that have been here a long time, on the		forwarding their \$25-million in donor funds over to the
	process that we use to manage, design, and construction		State for us to get started in design. However, he's
	and the correct use of our documents.		concerned about where they're at right now with respect
6	So right now, we're on schedule. Everything		to fund-raising, and he does not want us to proceed in
	that shows in the past has already been established. The	7	spending any of the donor money until he gives us the
	next one is coming up November 6th. Item number six,	8	go-ahead.
	we'll be doing on our own. And this training has been	9	
	done with senior staff members, and Susan has been		this with Chris. The program for the school is done.
	helping us a great deal in terms of using the staff to		And what you need to run to have an accredited medical
	train. We figured that when you teach something, you learn it better yourself. So that's what we've been		school, just within that program, there is a few things that may not be needed right from the get-go for the
	doing. And we'll probably complete that right after the		medical school. For instance, the offices for staff,
	first of the year.		they could be on rental space next door and/or close by
16	And then we've had B&G attend these staff		and then still have an accredited medical school,
	trainings, and some of our support staff is attending,		perhaps.
18	depending on what we're training on. So the whole office	18	What we're doing is the schedule to start now
	is getting an update to get trained on your current		for the I guess you might call it conceptual design or
	documents. That concludes my presentation.		to get going with the design of the school, we're I'm
21	CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chimits. Any		trying to think. On the schedule right now, you have DDs
	questions or comments from the Board? Hearing none,		are not going to start, probably until next summer. DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: Chris Chimits.
	we'll move on the Agenda Item Number 6: Administrator's report.	23	The schematic design was supposed to be completed about
24 25	Mr. Nunez?		July of '18.
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Page 14 ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you,	1	
2	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator,	2	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs
2 3	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my	2 3	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any
2 3 4	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board	2 3 4	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design
2 3 4 5	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member.	2 3 4 5	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward.
2 3 4 5 6	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to	2 3 4 5 6	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around
2 3 4 5 6 7	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick.	2 3 4 5 6 7	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the rest of the board members. We flew in from Reno this	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've got plenty of time between now and next summer to really,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the rest of the board members. We flew in from Reno this morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've got plenty of time between now and next summer to really, really solidify the schematic design.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the rest of the board members. We flew in from Reno this morning. MEMBER LEWIS: Sounds terrific. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've got plenty of time between now and next summer to really, really solidify the schematic design. So then, when you move into DDs, obviously
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the rest of the board members. We flew in from Reno this morning. MEMBER LEWIS: Sounds terrific. Thank you. COUNSEL STEWART: For the record, Susan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've got plenty of time between now and next summer to really, really solidify the schematic design. So then, when you move into DDs, obviously you don't want to have changes after that. But for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the rest of the board members. We flew in from Reno this morning. MEMBER LEWIS: Sounds terrific. Thank you. COUNSEL STEWART: For the record, Susan Stewart, construction law counsel. I just typically,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've got plenty of time between now and next summer to really, really solidify the schematic design. So then, when you move into DDs, obviously you don't want to have changes after that. But for schematics, it shouldn't be a big issue, if anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the rest of the board members. We flew in from Reno this morning. MEMBER LEWIS: Sounds terrific. Thank you. COUNSEL STEWART: For the record, Susan Stewart, construction law counsel. I just typically, we would include an agenda item for a formal introduction	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've got plenty of time between now and next summer to really, really solidify the schematic design. So then, when you move into DDs, obviously you don't want to have changes after that. But for schematics, it shouldn't be a big issue, if anything happens. Obviously, hopefully, we can maximize that real
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the rest of the board members. We flew in from Reno this morning. MEMBER LEWIS: Sounds terrific. Thank you. COUNSEL STEWART: For the record, Susan Stewart, construction law counsel. I just typically, we would include an agenda item for a formal introduction for Mr. Lewis. And I didn't understand that you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've got plenty of time between now and next summer to really, really solidify the schematic design. So then, when you move into DDs, obviously you don't want to have changes after that. But for schematics, it shouldn't be a big issue, if anything happens. Obviously, hopefully, we can maximize that real estate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the rest of the board members. We flew in from Reno this morning. MEMBER LEWIS: Sounds terrific. Thank you. COUNSEL STEWART: For the record, Susan Stewart, construction law counsel. I just typically, we would include an agenda item for a formal introduction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've got plenty of time between now and next summer to really, really solidify the schematic design. So then, when you move into DDs, obviously you don't want to have changes after that. But for schematics, it shouldn't be a big issue, if anything happens. Obviously, hopefully, we can maximize that real estate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the rest of the board members. We flew in from Reno this morning. MEMBER LEWIS: Sounds terrific. Thank you. COUNSEL STEWART: For the record, Susan Stewart, construction law counsel. I just typically, we would include an agenda item for a formal introduction for Mr. Lewis. And I didn't understand that you were going to be here today. We're all proud to have you. At	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've got plenty of time between now and next summer to really, really solidify the schematic design. So then, when you move into DDs, obviously you don't want to have changes after that. But for schematics, it shouldn't be a big issue, if anything happens. Obviously, hopefully, we can maximize that real estate. If you start building something less or strategy where maybe you can shell out a couple of the floors and still save the money you need to do and still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the rest of the board members. We flew in from Reno this morning. MEMBER LEWIS: Sounds terrific. Thank you. COUNSEL STEWART: For the record, Susan Stewart, construction law counsel. I just typically, we would include an agenda item for a formal introduction for Mr. Lewis. And I didn't understand that you were going to be here today. We're all proud to have you. At the next board hearing, we will do a formal introduction. Welcome aboard. And I just wanted to put that on the record to make clear, typically, we do a little bit more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've got plenty of time between now and next summer to really, really solidify the schematic design. So then, when you move into DDs, obviously you don't want to have changes after that. But for schematics, it shouldn't be a big issue, if anything happens. Obviously, hopefully, we can maximize that real estate. If you start building something less or strategy where maybe you can shell out a couple of the floors and still save the money you need to do and still have the nine-story design ready to go, it would probably
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the rest of the board members. We flew in from Reno this morning. MEMBER LEWIS: Sounds terrific. Thank you. COUNSEL STEWART: For the record, Susan Stewart, construction law counsel. I just typically, we would include an agenda item for a formal introduction for Mr. Lewis. And I didn't understand that you were going to be here today. We're all proud to have you. At the next board hearing, we will do a formal introduction. Welcome aboard. And I just wanted to put that on the record to make clear, typically, we do a little bit more formal welcome. And so we're thrilled to have you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've got plenty of time between now and next summer to really, really solidify the schematic design. So then, when you move into DDs, obviously you don't want to have changes after that. But for schematics, it shouldn't be a big issue, if anything happens. Obviously, hopefully, we can maximize that real estate. If you start building something less or strategy where maybe you can shell out a couple of the floors and still save the money you need to do and still have the nine-story design ready to go, it would probably be the best idea. But we'll be working through that and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record, Gus Nunez, administrator, Public Works. I neglected one thing before I get into my report, is to introduce Kevin Lewis. He's our new board member. And, Kevin, I don't know. Maybe you want to say something about yourself real quick. MEMBER LEWIS: Just a local. Enjoy construction. Glad to be a part of the board here. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you. Welcome aboard. Glad we get to talk a little bit, too, with the rest of the board members. We flew in from Reno this morning. MEMBER LEWIS: Sounds terrific. Thank you. COUNSEL STEWART: For the record, Susan Stewart, construction law counsel. I just typically, we would include an agenda item for a formal introduction for Mr. Lewis. And I didn't understand that you were going to be here today. We're all proud to have you. At the next board hearing, we will do a formal introduction. Welcome aboard. And I just wanted to put that on the record to make clear, typically, we do a little bit more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: So quite a bit of work that we can do between now and July before we start DDs that would not effect or we would not be wasting any effect or moneys in getting this moving with the design forward. He indicated probably around January-February, he would have a better idea as to where he would be fund-raising. So we're putting together an outline for him as to what needs to be done in order for him to have an accredited school. At least that much we need to move forward with so we can get going. And we've got plenty of time between now and next summer to really, really solidify the schematic design. So then, when you move into DDs, obviously you don't want to have changes after that. But for schematics, it shouldn't be a big issue, if anything happens. Obviously, hopefully, we can maximize that real estate. If you start building something less or strategy where maybe you can shell out a couple of the floors and still save the money you need to do and still have the nine-story design ready to go, it would probably

	Dava 47		
	Page 17		Page 19
1	We're estimating right now that the inflation	1	And right now, the way things are sitting,
2	on that project is going to be running close to a million	2	due to basically the market and how things are going,
	dollars a month. So we're actually, by not starting,		we've been able to fill in one of those positions right
	we're wasting, you know they're concerned about		now.
	wasting money during schematic design. And you're	5	
	actually, right now, wasting money by not proceeding. So		mechanical engineer, who is working out of the Carson
	that's something that we want to break forth and make		City office. You'll see the amount of in the deferred
	sure he understands where this whole million		•
			maintenance area, we have just a tremendous amount,
9	MEMBER TIBERTI: A million a month?		always, of mechanical work, both north and south. And so
10	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Construction will		we're adding another mechanical engineer at the southern
	probably be close to \$180-million. And we're looking at		office. He's already on board.
	close to a million a month right now. Over the next two	12	
	years is what all the indicators are from everyone here		looks promising. We'll probably be making an offer to
	in Southern Nevada keeps indicating that we're looking at		him probably by the end of the week or first part of next
15	10 to 11 percent inflation in the next few years, per	15	week. So he'll be the second one. We still have one
16	year.	16	position that we're still hunting around. This one that
17	MEMBER LEWIS: Are you experiencing something	17	he just interviewed yesterday would be for an architect
18	less than that right now?	18	here out of the Vegas office. The other position will
19	ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Everyone that we're		also be an architect out of the Vegas office that we
20	working with we have four contractors working with us	20	need.
	on CMAR projects right now, and they're all pretty much	21	In addition to that, we'll be going to IFC in
	on line, 10 to 11 percent inflation over the next few	22	early 2018 to request the additional position, which
	years. So that's a big how much. So we need time is		would be probably three PMs. The numbers show four. We
	of the essence right now to get things going.		need four more, based on the number of hours that's going
25	CHAIR CLUTTS: Bryce Clutts, for the record.		to be needed to do what we've got down on the books right
	y		0 0
	Page 18		Page 20
-		_	
1	Did you have something to say?		now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support
2	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to	2	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to
2 3	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual	2 3	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the
2 3 4	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know	2 3 4	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018.
2 3 4 5	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that	2 3 4 5	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees,
2 3 4 5 6	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator	2 3 4 5 6	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for
2 3 4 5 6	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding.	2 3 4 5 6 7	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were requesting three additional project managers and eight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He had to take care of his folks, and we've replaced him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were requesting three additional project managers and eight new inspectors as to what that showed the math showed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He had to take care of his folks, and we've replaced him with John Foster, as a project manager II, here out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were requesting three additional project managers and eight new inspectors as to what that showed the math showed at that time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He had to take care of his folks, and we've replaced him with John Foster, as a project manager II, here out of the Vegas office. And then our structural, Chandra, went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were requesting three additional project managers and eight new inspectors as to what that showed the math showed at that time. And actually, now, due to the size of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He had to take care of his folks, and we've replaced him with John Foster, as a project manager II, here out of the Vegas office. And then our structural, Chandra, went to work in Southern California, LA. And we've been able
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were requesting three additional project managers and eight new inspectors as to what that showed the math showed at that time. And actually, now, due to the size of actually the CIP, the way it came out, we went into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He had to take care of his folks, and we've replaced him with John Foster, as a project manager II, here out of the Vegas office. And then our structural, Chandra, went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were requesting three additional project managers and eight new inspectors as to what that showed the math showed at that time. And actually, now, due to the size of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He had to take care of his folks, and we've replaced him with John Foster, as a project manager II, here out of the Vegas office. And then our structural, Chandra, went to work in Southern California, LA. And we've been able
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were requesting three additional project managers and eight new inspectors as to what that showed the math showed at that time. And actually, now, due to the size of actually the CIP, the way it came out, we went into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He had to take care of his folks, and we've replaced him with John Foster, as a project manager II, here out of the Vegas office. And then our structural, Chandra, went to work in Southern California, LA. And we've been able to replace him with Kirsten. She's a PM II structural
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were requesting three additional project managers and eight new inspectors as to what that showed the math showed at that time. And actually, now, due to the size of actually the CIP, the way it came out, we went into the legislature with a little over \$400-million. We're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He had to take care of his folks, and we've replaced him with John Foster, as a project manager II, here out of the Vegas office. And then our structural, Chandra, went to work in Southern California, LA. And we've been able to replace him with Kirsten. She's a PM II structural engineer working out of the Vegas office here. That's about all I have to say about staffing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were requesting three additional project managers and eight new inspectors as to what that showed the math showed at that time. And actually, now, due to the size of actually the CIP, the way it came out, we went into the legislature with a little over \$400-million. We're coming out with, like Chris indicated, close to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He had to take care of his folks, and we've replaced him with John Foster, as a project manager II, here out of the Vegas office. And then our structural, Chandra, went to work in Southern California, LA. And we've been able to replace him with Kirsten. She's a PM II structural engineer working out of the Vegas office here. That's about all I have to say about staffing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were requesting three additional project managers and eight new inspectors as to what that showed the math showed at that time. And actually, now, due to the size of actually the CIP, the way it came out, we went into the legislature with a little over \$400-million. We're coming out with, like Chris indicated, close to \$700-million. Just a little bit more than we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He had to take care of his folks, and we've replaced him with John Foster, as a project manager II, here out of the Vegas office. And then our structural, Chandra, went to work in Southern California, LA. And we've been able to replace him with Kirsten. She's a PM II structural engineer working out of the Vegas office here. That's about all I have to say about staffing. With respect to regulations and I'm sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were requesting three additional project managers and eight new inspectors as to what that showed the math showed at that time. And actually, now, due to the size of actually the CIP, the way it came out, we went into the legislature with a little over \$400-million. We're coming out with, like Chris indicated, close to \$700-million. Just a little bit more than we anticipated. So we're looking at, potentially,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He had to take care of his folks, and we've replaced him with John Foster, as a project manager II, here out of the Vegas office. And then our structural, Chandra, went to work in Southern California, LA. And we've been able to replace him with Kirsten. She's a PM II structural engineer working out of the Vegas office here. That's about all I have to say about staffing. With respect to regulations and I'm sure I've mentioned that to you all before the beginning of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Did you have something to say? DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR CHIMITS: I just want to say the picture I passed out, this is a conceptual rendering of the medical school at UNLV, just so you know what it would look like. Our administrator feels that this is an architectural flaw. The deputy administrator feels that this is outstanding. CHAIR CLUTTS: The chairman would suggest that that would look awkward on Shadow Lane. Definitely different. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Going on with my report on staffing our budget going into the session, what we knew at this time going into the session, we were requesting three additional project managers and eight new inspectors as to what that showed the math showed at that time. And actually, now, due to the size of actually the CIP, the way it came out, we went into the legislature with a little over \$400-million. We're coming out with, like Chris indicated, close to \$700-million. Just a little bit more than we anticipated. So we're looking at, potentially, three-to-four more PMs and two more support staff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	now. But, anyhow, four new project managers, two support staff. That's under discussion right now with respect to taking that to a future IFC meeting, probably at the beginning of 2018. Recently, also, we have a few new employees, like Chris said, as we're going through the reason for the training due to retirement or turnovers. Dan Daley, our civil up north just retired, and we've been able to replace him with another civil. Brian Blocker is his name. He's a PM too. Again, a civil, UNR graduate. Actually, master's degree from UNR. Louis Roa, one of our architects from here, went back to California, due to some family issues. He had to take care of his folks, and we've replaced him with John Foster, as a project manager II, here out of the Vegas office. And then our structural, Chandra, went to work in Southern California, LA. And we've been able to replace him with Kirsten. She's a PM II structural engineer working out of the Vegas office here. That's about all I have to say about staffing. With respect to regulations and I'm sure I've mentioned that to you all before the beginning of we'll probably start now at the beginning of the year.

	Page 21		Page 23
 2 the be able to get the will 3 codes that we need early be 4 get started with you all. Construction 5 you all in January with reference the codes adopted. 7 I don't think and we reference the codes adopted. 7 I don't think and we reference the codes adopted. 7 I don't think and we reference the codes adopted. 7 I don't think and we reference the codes adopted. 7 I don't think and we reference the codes adopted. 7 I don't think and we reference the codes adopted. 7 I don't think and we reference the codes adopted. 8 cleanup, perhaps, to our reference the codes adopted. 9 we probably have some clean the codes can be provided by the source of the codes adopted to the codes adopted	hed, so we should have most of hole family of all of the by January-February, so we'll Chris will get started with spect to the reg process to getmay have some egs from the 2017 session. So eanup items that we may have to ew codes that we'll be t process should get started18.As a matter of fact, moving on from state11 out and with Director Cates' icial. December 15th will19 Vorks. ou have any questions, udes my presentation. nk you, Mr. Nunez.20RATOR CHIMITS: I would like bably all received an	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 22 22 23	CHAIR CLUTTS: Well, I would just as the Chairman, I would just like to say thank you for your service to not only the State, but the industry. I know that the State and the industry is better off for your services. So thank you. Any other comments or questions about workers? ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Just say thank you to the Board for keeping me this long. I think I've been lucky to stay here 16 and a half years at Public Works. It's been great. DIRECTOR CATES: I just want to thank Gus as director of administration. It was great to come in and have somebody with his kind of experience and skill with the process. It sure made my life a lot easier, and I'm going to hate to see you go. And now I've got to I can pick a replacement, so that's going to be tough. Tough act to follow. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Well, I don't know. I told you how I feel about it. CHAIR CLUTTS: All right. ADMINISTRATOR NUNEZ: Thank you for your kind words. I appreciate it. Thank you for keeping me. I appreciate that very much. CHAIR CLUTTS: Moving onto Agenda Item Number
	Page 22		Page 24
 2 That will be at the State Li 3 1:30, right next door to 4 Finger foods, kind of a lig 5 hours. We'll say good-by 6 COUNSEL STEWART 7 DEPUTY ADMINISTI 8 stories. Hoping that as m 9 be fun. We've got some g 10 COUNSEL STEWART 11 invitation, let me know, at 12 DEPUTY CHIMITS: I 13 at the list, and each one of 14 DIRECTOR CATES: N 15 DEPUTY ADMINISTI 16 11:30 to 1:30, in Carso 17 ADMINISTRATOR N 18 lobby, but 19 DEPUTY ADMINISTI 20 couldn't get another room 21 COUNSEL STEWART 22 you're not going to be the 	e to Gus. T: Tell stories. RATOR CHIMITS: Tell some any of you can attend. It will great stories to share. T: And if you didn't get an ad we'll make sure you get one. think you did. I looked f you is on them. We need to roast him good. RATOR CHIMITS: December 8th, n City. UNEZ: This started in the RATOR CHIMITS: Yeah. We where they could serve food. T: That's what happens when boss anymore. UNEZ: I guess so. I look t't know about the 8th. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17	7 for possible action: Board comment and discussion. Is there any Board comments on any agenda item? Hearing none, are there any items to be included in future agendas? None. Review of action items for State Public Works Division management. I don't think we have any today. As far as a future meeting date, I don't think we need to determine that today. COUNSEL STEWART: We'll be in touch, is our practice. CHAIR CLUTTS: Given that the Board is awfully quiet this morning, we'll just move onto Agenda Item No. 8: Public comment. Is there any public comment? COUNSEL MENICUCCI: Apparently none in Carson City. CHAIR CLUTTS: Thank you. There's none down here. So with that, I'll adjourn the meeting at 9:55. Thank you. -000-

```
Page 25
 1
    STATE OF NEVADA,
                      )
 2
                       )
 3
   CARSON CITY.
                       )
 4
 5
 6
         I, NICOLE J. HANSEN, Official Court Reporter for the
 7
    State of Nevada, State Public Works Division, do hereby
 8
    Certify:
 9
10
         That on the 1st day of November, 2017, I was
11
    present at said meeting for the purpose of reporting in
12
    verbatim stenotype notes the within-entitled public
13 meeting;
14
         That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1
15
    through 24, inclusive, includes a full, true and correct
16
    transcription of my stenotype notes of said public
17
    meeting.
18
19
         Dated at Reno, Nevada, this 1st day of
20
    November, 2017.
21
22
23
24
                        NICOLE J. HANSEN, NV CCR #446
25
```

November 1, 2017

				,,
	addressed (1)	4:19;7:2,24;23:23,24	13:13;16:7;23:4	Cates' (1)
\$	6:2	approval (3)	big (2)	21:16
Ŧ	adjacent (3)	7:21;8:4,18	16:16;17:23	cause (1)
\$180-million (1)	5:22;6:11;7:16	approve (3)	bill (4)	12:6
17:11	adjourn (1)	7:25;8:23;9:1	9:13;10:3,20,21	center (1)
\$21 (1)	24:18	approved (1)	bit (4)	9:21
10:13	administration (1)	6:15	14:11,22;16:1;18:21	CHAIR (21)
\$25 (3)	23:13	approximately (2)	Blocker (1)	3:4,10,25;4:1,5,15;
10:21,22;11:17	administrative (1)	8:8;12:6	20:9	7:3,7;8:15,25;9:5;
\$25-million (1)	18:24	architect (4)	Board (15)	12:11;13:21;17:25;
15:3	ADMINISTRATOR (32)	4:10;19:12,17,19	3:7;8:18;9:4;10:6;	18:8;21:21;23:1,21,25;
\$31-million-dollar (1)	3:9,11,14,16,18,20,	architects (1)	12:12;13:22;14:4,9,12,	24:11,17
10:16	22,24;9:9,10;12:15;	20:12	20;19:11;23:9;24:1,2,	Chairman (5)
\$37 (1)	14:1,2,10,25;15:23;	architectural (1)	11	3:9;14:2;18:8;21:20;
9:22	16:1;17:10,19;18:2,5,6,	18:6	book (1)	23:2
\$400-million (1)	11;21:23;22:7,15,17,	area (2)	12:22	chairperson (1)
18:19	19,23;23:8,19,22	6:4;19:8	books (2)	8:9
\$41 (1)	Administrator's (1)	areas (1)	19:25;21:1	Chandra (1)
10:9	13:23	6:18	boss (1)	20:16
\$42 (1)	adopted (1)	around (2)	22:22	changes (2)
9:20	21:6	16:6;19:16	both (2)	8:20;16:15
\$51 (1)	adopting (1)	assistants (1)	5:15;19:9	check (1)
10:2	21:11	18:24	boundary (2)	11:17
\$700 (1)	AE (1)	associated (2)	5:5,5	Chimits (16)
12:5	9:17	5:12,19	brawl (1)	9:8,9,10;12:11,14,
\$700-million (1)	Again (1)	association (2)	22:1	15;13:21;15:23,23;
18:21	20:10	6:8;7:21	break (1)	18:2;21:22,23;22:7,12,
\$85 (1)	Agenda (14)	attend (2)	17:7	15,19
9:24	3:8;4:2;6:23;7:4;	13:16;22:8	Brian (2)	Chris (8)
9.24	8:17;9:6;12:13,23;	attending (1)	19:5;20:9	9:10;14:25;15:10,23;
Α	13:1,23;14:17;23:25;	13:17	brought (1)	18:20;19:12;20:6;21:4
	- 24:2,12	attention (1)	5:16	CIP (8)
able (4)	agendas (1)	5:16	Bryce (2)	9:12,13;10:3,20;
19:3;20:8,17;21:2	24:4	August (2)	3:9;17:25	12:3,5,9;18:18
aboard (2)	allocated (1)	10:5;11:23	budget (1)	CITY (9)
14:11,21	10:21	authorities (1)	18:12	3:1;4:9;5:3;6:15,17;
above (1)	almost (1)	5:16	building (6)	11:14;19:7;22:16;
7:25	6:10	awfully (1)	9:23;10:9,12;11:2,	24:16
Acceptance (2)	along (4)	24:12	11;16:19	civil (3)
	5:5;11:6,8;21:10	awkward (1)	bullets (1)	20:8,9,10
8:18,22	alter (1)	18:9	7:13	cleanup (2)
accredited (3)	12:6	Aye (1)		21:8,9
15:11,16;16:10	always (1)	9:4	С	clear (1)
acquiring (1)	19:9			14:22
12:16	amicably (1)	В	California (4)	Clint (2)
acres (1)	7:1		4:11;12:18;20:13,17	3:14;9:2
5:7	amount (2)	B&G (1)	call (5)	close (5)
act (1)	19:7,8	13:16	3:8;11:18;12:20;	15:15;17:2,11,12;
23:18	and/or (2)	back (2)	15:1,19	18:20
action (3)	6:21;15:15	16:24;20:13	came (3)	CLUTTS (23)
8:18;24:1,5	Andrew (2)	based (1)	10:5,20;18:18	3:4,9,10;4:1,5,15;
actually (7)	7:15:8:6	19:24	can (8)	7:3,7,11;8:15,25;9:5;
4:23;17:3,6;18:17,	anticipated (1)	basically (3)	16:2,11,17,20,24,25;	12:11;13:21;17:25,25;
18;20:11,25	18:22	11:21;12:24;19:2	22:8;23:17	18:8;21:21;23:1,21,25;
Adam (1)	anymore (2)	Bassey (1)	capital (1)	24:11,17
3:18	6:25;22:22	19:5	9:6	CMAR (2)
added (1)	apologize (1)	beginning (3)	care (2)	9:17;17:21
10:4	3:5	20:4,22,23	20:14;21:10	code (1)
adding (1)	Apparently (1)	Bentley (4)	CARSON (7)	20:25
19:10	24:15	3:14,15;8:24;9:2	3:1;4:9;6:14,17;	codes (3)
addition (2)	appeared (1)	best (1)	19:6;22:16;24:15	21:3,6,10
8:19;19:21	11:7	16:23	Cates (4)	College (1)
additional (3)	appreciate (5)	better (3)	3:22,23;22:14;23:12	10:13
10:7;18:14;19:22	approvide (5)	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	5.22,25,22.17,25.12	10.15

coming (3)	costs (1)	21:16;22:14;23:12,	employees (1)	20:13;21:2
11:6;13:8;18:20	10:2	13	20:5	far (1)
comment (8)	COUNSEL (7)	discussing (1)	employment (1)	24:7
4:2,3,6;7:5;8:16;	14:15,16;22:6,10,21;	15:9	21:16	favor (1)
24:1,13,14	24:9,15	discussion (2)	enclosed (1)	9:3
comments (5)	couple (3)	20:2;24:1	6:18	feel (1)
8:20;12:12;13:22;	12:7;16:20;22:4	distributed (1)	end (4)	23:20
23:6;24:2	created (2)	18:25	10:4;11:21;12:4;	feels (2)
Commission (1)	9:13;12:4	District (1)	19:14	18:5,7
9:11	criteria (1)	11:14	engineer (3)	feet (2)
committee (2)	6:10	Division (1)	19:6,10;20:19	6:20;8:8
10:23;11:15	current (1)	24:6	engineering (2)	fence (3)
communicating (1)	13:19	DMV (1)	9:23;10:15	5:15;6:19,22
16:24	currently (1)	9:19	Enjoy (1)	few (4)
community (1)	6:9	documents (2)	14:8	15:12;17:15,22;20:5
7:18		13:5,20	enlightening (1)	figured (1)
complete (2)	D	dollars (1)	4:22	13:12
10:1;13:14		17:3	ensure (1)	fill (2)
completed (1)	Daley (1)	donation (1)	8:8	19:3;21:16
15:24	20:7	7:19	entertain (1)	finance (1)
comprising (1)	Dan (1)	done (3)	8:21	10:23
5:15	20:7	13:10;15:10;16:9	equipment (1)	find (1)
conceptual (2)	date (1)	donor (3)	6:21	22:25
15:19;18:3	24:7	10:22;15:3,7	especially (3)	Finger (1)
concerned (2)	Dave (1)	donors' (1)	4:22;5:21;6:2	22:4
15:5;17:4	11:8	11:5	essence (1)	finish (1)
concerning (1)	day (3)	door (4)	17:24	11:23
6:7	5:17;6:4;21:18	5:13;7:18;15:15;	essentially (1)	firms (2)
concludes (3)	DDs (3)	22:3	12:4	9:17,18
12:9;13:20;21:20	15:21;16:2,14	down (4)	established (1)	First (3)
conducted (1)	deal (1)	6:25;8:16;19:25;	13:7	4:19;13:15;19:14
8:5	13:11	24:17	estate (1)	five (2)
constitutes (1)	December (4)	DPW (1)	16:18	4:6;10:24
5:7	21:17,25;22:2,15	4:19	estimate (2)	flaw (1)
construction (15)	decisions (1)	drainage (2)	10:10,14	18:6
8:2;9:14;10:1,9,14,	6:7	5:11;6:1	estimated (1)	flew (1)
	deferred (3)	drunken (1)	10:13	14:12
17;11:1,9,20,22;12:1;		22:1		
13:4;14:9,16;17:10	9:15;12:2;19:7	due (4)	estimating (1) 17:1	floors (1) 16:21
consultation (1)	Definitely (1)			
8:5	18:9	18:17;19:2;20:7,13	everyone (3)	folks (2)
continuity (1)	degree (1)	during (1)	17:13,19;21:14	8:14;20:14
8:8	20:11	17:5	exceeding (1)	follow (1)
contracted (2)	demonstrated (1)	dust (1)	6:22	23:18
9:17,17	5:14	5:25	execute (1)	following (2)
contractors (1)	depending (1)	F	9:14	6:13;11:23
17:20	13:18	E	executive (1)	follows (1)
cooperation (1)	DEPUTY (11)		9:12	13:1
8:5	9:9,10;12:15;15:23;	early (2)	exists (1)	food (1)
copy (3)	18:2,6;21:23;22:7,12,	19:22;21:3	6:9	22:20
4:13;8:12;21:14	15,19	easier (1)	expense (1)	foods (1)
corner (2)	description (1)	23:15	7:20	22:4
4:23;11:13	11:3	education (1)	experience (1)	foot (1)
corporate (2)	design (11)	10:12	23:14	5:4
7:14,16	11:16,21;13:4;15:4,	effect (3)	experiencing (1)	form (1)
corporation (5)	19,20,24;16:4,13,22;	12:5;16:3,4	17:17	7:19
5:6,23;6:3;7:23;8:3	17:5	effects (1)		formal (3)
CORRADO (4)	determine (1)	7:22	F	14:17,20,23
4:4,8,8,16	24:8	eight (2)		Forman (1)
C-o-r-r-a-d-o (1)	development (1)	9:15;18:14	fact (1)	11:8
4:9	6:16	elaborate (1)	21:13	formulated (1)
cost (7)	different (1)	11:18	fall (1)	13:1
6:9;9:20,22,24;10:9,	18:10	electronic (2)	20:25	forth (1)
14;11:2	Director (4)	4:17;8:11	family (2)	17:7

November 1, 2017

				November 1, 2017
forward (3)	23:12	include (1)		- 13:13
16:5,11;22:24		14:17	J	least (1)
forwarding (1)	H	included (3)	0	- 16:10
15:3		9:18;10:3;24:3	January (1)	leave (1)
Foster (1)	half (2)	including (2)	21:5	7:13
20:15	12:25;23:10	5:9;6:7	January-February (3)	legislative (1)
four (10)	Hand (2)	incompatible (1)	16:7;21:3,12	10:4
5:9,17;10:3,7,24;	3:18,19	5:24	Jessup (1)	legislature (1)
12:7;17:20;19:23,24;	happens (2)	increase (1)	15:2	18:19
20:1 fourth (1)	16:17;22:21	12:1 indicated (4)	John (1)	less (2)
10 1 0110 (1)	hate (1) 23:16	indicated (4) 14:25;15:2;16:6;	20:15	16:19;17:18 letter (3)
Fromdal (1)	health (1)	14.25,15.2,10.0, 18:20	July (3)	7:11,13;8:11
8:6	10:8	indicating (1)	8:19;15:25;16:2	Lewis (8)
front (2)	hear (1)	17:14	juxtaposed (2)	3:20,21;14:4,8,14,18,
5:13;7:18	8:13	indicators (1)	5:19,25	- 24;17:17
full (1)	Hearing (5)	17:13	K	Library (2)
8:5	9:5;12:13;13:22;	industrial (5)		- 22:2,3
fun (1)	14:20;24:2	5:8,19,24;6:15;7:22	keen (1)	life (1)
22:9	height (2)	industry (2)	7:17	23:15
funding (2)	6:20,22	23:3,4	keeping (2)	light (2)
4:19;10:17	helping (1)	inflation (3)	23:9,23	6:2;22:4
fund-raising (2)	13:11	17:1,15,22	keeps (1)	limited (1)
15:6;16:8	hire (1)	informed (1) 21:14	17:14	4:6
funds (3) 10:22;11:5;15:3	12:18		Kevin (3)	line (3) 4:20;8:7;17:22
further (2)	Historic (2) 5:10;6:12	inspectors (1) 18:15	3:20;14:4,6	4:20;8:7;17:22 list (2)
7:24;8:4	Hobart (1)	installed (1)	kind (3)	13:2;22:13
future (3)	4:21	6:20	22:4;23:14,22	little (4)
20:3;24:3,7	home (3)	instance (2)	Kirsten (1) 20:18	14:11,22;18:19,21
· · ·	5:9;6:11;9:25	6:3;15:14	knew (1)	lobby (1)
G	homeowner's (1)	interest (1)	18:13	22:18
	6:8	7:17	10110	local (1)
gave (1)	hopefully (3)	interesting (1)	L	14:8
11:15	8:13;16:17,24	11:25		- located (2)
general (1) 13:2	Hoping (1) 22:8	interim (1) 10:22	LA (1)	11:11,12 location (1)
generated (1)	hour (1)	interviewed (2)	20:17	11:4
5:25	12:24	19:12,17	Lake (5)	long (3)
get-go (1)	hours (3)	into (5)	5:2,5,6,22;7:23	12:16;13:3;23:9
15:13	6:3;19:24;22:5	14:3;16:14;18:12,13,	Lakeview (3) 4:12;7:10,21	look (3)
Given (3)	house (1)	18	land (2)	18:5,9;22:23
7:17,24;24:11	5:18	introduce (1)	4:21;6:14	looked (1)
gives (1)	houses (1)	14:4	landscape (1)	22:12
15:7	4:13	introduction (2)	4:10	looking (3)
Glad (2)	hunting (1)	14:17,20	Lane (4)	17:11,14;18:22
14:9,11	19:16	invitation (2)	11:11,13,13;18:9	looks (1)
go-ahead (2) 15:8;16:25	Ι	21:25;22:11 involved (1)	Las (3)	19:13 lot (1)
Good (4)	I	7:2	9:22;11:12,14	23:15
3:4;5:1;9:9;22:14	idea (2)	issue (3)	last (6)	Louis (1)
good-bye (2)	16:7,23	7:1;8:9;16:16	5:12;10:16,19;12:2,	20:12
22:1,5	IFC (4)	issues (5)	21;21:18 late (1)	lucky (1)
graduate (1)	11:7;15:1;19:21;	5:11,19;6:1,6;20:13	3:6	23:10
20:10	20:3	Item (13)	later (1)	lunch (1)
great (4)	II (2)	3:8;4:2;6:23;7:4;	11:19	22:4
13:11;22:9;23:11,13	20:15,18	8:17;9:6;12:13;13:8,	law (1)	Lynn (1)
grow (1)	impacted (1)	23;14:17;23:25;24:2,	14:16	15:2
12:6	5:23	13 itoms (5)	lay-down (1)	Μ
guess (2) 15:19;22:23	impacting (1) 5:13	items (5) 10:25;12:23;21:9;	6:4	191
Gus (4)	improvement (1)	24:3,5	layout (1)	maintained (1)
11:17;14:2;22:5;	9:7	21.0,0	7:15	6:20
			learn (1)	

				November 1, 2017
maintenance (3)	million (11)	14:3	offer (4)	past (1)
9:15;12:2;19:8	9:20,22,24;10:2,21,	negotiate (1)	6:8;7:19,25;19:13	13:7
making (1)	22;11:17;17:2,8,9,12	7:19	office (8)	Patrick (1)
19:13	million-dollar (3)	neighborhood (1)	13:18;19:7,11,18,19;	3:22
manage (1)	10:9,13;12:5	5:21	20:16,19;22:3	Paul (1)
13:4	minimum (1)	NEUMANN (3)	offices (1)	4:8
management (1)	6:20	7:6,9,9	15:14	paved (1)
24:6	minutes (5)	NEVADA (6)	official (2)	4:23
manager (2)	4:6,18;8:19,21;9:1	3:1;4:9,11,21;10:12;	21:13,17	pavement (1)
12:14;20:15	Monday's (1)	17:14	Old (1)	4:25
managers (2)	12:25	new (15)	4:22	people (3)
18:14;20:1	money (5)	9:23,24;10:8,17;	once (1)	12:17;13:2,3
manager's (1)	10:22;15:7;16:21;	12:1,17,18,20;13:2;	11:16	per (1)
13:1	17:5,6	14:4;18:15;20:1,5,24;	one (12)	17:15
many (1)	moneys (1)	21:10	10:11,14,16;13:8;	percent (3)
22:8	16:4	new-construction (1)	14:3;19:3,15,15,16;	10:1;17:15,22
March (1)	month (4)	9:18	20:12;22:11,13	performance (2)
5:17	11:16;17:3,9,12	next (13)	only (1)	6:5,10
market (1)	more (6)	6:16;10:11;11:13;	23:3	perhaps (2)
19:2 Marlatta (C)	14:22;18:21,23,23;	13:8;14:20;15:15,22;	onto (4)	15:17;21:8
Marlette (6)	19:24;22:1	16:12;17:12,15,22;	8:17;9:6;23:25;	period (2)
5:2,5,6,22;7:22;8:10 master's (1)	more-formal (1) 12:19	19:14;22:3 nine-story (2)	24:12 opportunity (1)	11:22,23 permanently (1)
20:11	morning (4)	11:10;16:22	7:25	6:19
material (1)	3:4;9:9;14:13;24:12	noise (1)	opposed (1)	phone (1)
5:15	most (2)	6:2	9:5	11:18
materials (1)	5:21;21:1	none (8)	order (1)	phonetic (1)
6:21	most-impacted (1)	8:21;9:5;12:13;	16:9	11:8
math (1)	8:6	13:22;24:3,4,15,17	out (15)	pick (1)
18:15	motion (3)	north (6)	7:13;9:11;10:20;	23:17
matter (1)	8:21,23;9:1	4:3;6:11;9:22;18:25;	16:20;18:3,18,20;19:6,	picture (2)
21:13	move (4)	19:9;20:8	18,19;20:15,19;21:1,	7:13;18:3
maximize (1)	13:23;16:11,14;	note (1)	16;22:25	Pinto (1)
16:17	24:12	11:25	outline (1)	11:13
may (4)	Moving (8)	noted (1)	16:9	place (1)
11:17;15:13;21:7,9	7:4;8:17;9:6;12:13,	8:20	outstanding (1)	3:6
Maybe (2)	17;16:4;21:15;23:25	NOVEMBER (3)	18:7	plan (2)
14:6;16:20	MP (1)	3:1,7;13:8	over (5)	7:15;9:7
Meadowood (2)	21:14	Number (7)	4:23;15:3;17:12,22;	planning (5)
4:9;7:10	much (5)	8:17;9:6;12:13;13:8,	18:19	9:16;10:8,11,17;12:3
mechanical (3)	14:24;16:10;17:21,	23;19:24;23:25	own (1)	plans (1)
19:6,9,10	23;23:24	numbers (1)	13:9	8:1 Diagona (2)
medical (6) 10:18;11:14;15:11,	Ν	19:23 NUNEZ (26)	owner (2)	Please (3)
14,16;18:4	19	3:9,11,14,16,18,20,	7:15;8:6 owner's (1)	4:6;7:1,7 plenty (1)
meeting (10)	name (6)	22,24;4:1;7:11;9:8;	7:21	16:12
3:7;4:18;6:10;8:19,	4:7,8;7:7,9;9:10;	13:25;14:1,2,10,25;	ownership (1)	plot (1)
21;10:5;15:1;20:3;	20:10	16:1;17:10,19;18:11;	4:21	7:14
24:7,18	National (2)	21:21;22:17,23;23:8,		PM (2)
meetings (1)	5:9;6:12	19,22	Р	20:10,18
12:25	NDOT (3)			PMs (2)
Member (18)	4:23;6:4;7:14	0	part (3)	18:23;19:23
3:14,15,16,17,18,19,	need (10)		4:24;14:9;19:14	pollution (1)
20,21,22,23;8:23,24;	5:14;15:11;16:11,21;	000- (2)	participate (1)	6:2
14:5,8,14,24;17:9,17	17:23;19:20,24;21:3;	3:2;24:20	6:8	position (3)
members (3)	22:14;24:7	obviously (2)	particularly (1)	19:16,18,22
12:17;13:10;14:12	needed (3)	16:14,17	5:12	positions (4)
MENICUCCI (1)	12:19;15:13;19:25	occurred (1)	partner (1)	12:7,8;18:24;19:3
24:15	needs (1)	12:19	6:7	possible (2)
mentioned (1)	16:9	October (2)	party (1)	8:17;24:1
20:22 might (1)	negatively (1)	11:7,21	22:1	potentially (1)
might (1) 15:19	5:23 neglected (1)	off (1) 23:4	passed (2) 9:11;18:3	18:22 practice (1)
13.17	negictieu (1)	23.4	2.11,10.3	practice (1)

				November 1, 2017
24:10	16:8	15:15	Roy (1)	share (2)
Present (7)		replace (2)	7:9	11:19;22:9
3:10,13,15,17,19,21,	Q	20:9,18	run (1)	shares (1)
23	×	replaced (1)	15:11	8:7
presentation (2)	quick (1)	20:14	running (1)	shell (1)
13:20;21:20	14:7	replacement (1)	17:2	16:20
president (3)	quiet (1)	23:17	17:2	show (1)
			S	19:23
11:8,19;15:2	24:12	report (6)		
pretty (1)	quite (1)	10:24;11:1;12:9;		showed (2)
17:21	16:1	13:24;14:4;18:11	save (1)	18:15,15
private (2)	quorum (1)	reported (1)	16:21	shows (1)
4:21;6:14	3:24	11:9	saw (1)	13:7
probably (12)	Quote (1)	request (1)	10:6	sides (1)
13:14;15:22;16:6,22;	6:18	19:22	schedule (5)	5:9
17:11;19:13,14,23;	_	requesting (1)	11:3,15;13:6;15:18,	signature (1)
20:3,23;21:9,24	R	18:14	21	21:17
proceed (1)		required (2)	schematic (3)	significant (1)
15:6	read (2)	6:13;10:23	15:24;16:13;17:5	5:11
proceeding (1)	4:16;7:12	residence (1)	schematics (1)	single (1)
17:6	readiness (1)	5:13	16:16	5:4
process (6)	9:21	residences (1)	school (9)	single-family (2)
11:5;13:4;15:9;21:5,	ready (1)	5:8	10:15,18;15:10,12,	5:8,13
11;23:15	16:22	resident (2)	14,16,20;16:10;18:4	sit (1)
professional (1)	real (2)	4:12;7:10	science (1)	6:25
12:7	14:7;16:17	residential (3)	10:8	site (4)
program (3)	really (2)	5:20,25;6:16	scope (2)	6:1,9;11:4,11
12:5;15:10,12	16:12,13	residents (1)	8:1;11:2	site-obscuring (1)
project (20)	reason (1)	5:22	screen (4)	6:19
8:1;9:20,22,24;10:2,	20:6	resolution (1)	5:15;6:7,9,10	Sites (1)
7,16,17,19,19;11:2,2,4,	recall (1)	6:6	screening (4)	5:10
10;12:14;13:1;17:2;	10:20	resolve (1)	6:6;7:22;8:2,10	sitting (1)
18:14;20:1,15	receive (1)	7:1	Sean (2)	19:1
projects (12)	15:1	respect (4)	3:11;8:25	six (2)
9:13,15,16,16,18,19;	received (2)	15:5;20:2,21;21:5	Second (3)	9:17;13:8
10:3,6,7;12:1,3;17:21	11:17;21:24	response (2)	8:24;9:2;19:15	size (1)
promising (1)	Recently (1)	7:12;8:12	Secondly (1)	18:17
19:13	20:5	rest (1)	5:1	skill (1)
pronounced (1)	recently-surveyed (1)	14:12	Seeing (2)	23:14
5:12	8:7	retired (1)	8:21;11:25	solidify (1)
property (12)	recompense (1)	20:8	senior (1)	16:13
4:20,24;5:7;6:11,14;	7:20	retirement (2)	13:10	somebody (1)
7:15,15,21;8:6,7,10;	record (6)	12:17;20:7	sent (4)	23:14
11:12	7:8;8:25;14:2,15,22;	retiring (1)	6:5;7:11;8:11,11	Sounds (1)
proposed (1)	17:25	21:15	separate (1)	14:14
11:1	reg (1)	review (3)	10:20	south (4)
protocol (1)	21:5	7:25;8:4;24:5	September (2)	5:5;8:16;18:25;19:9
12:20	Register (2)	right (20)	12:21,22	southeast (1)
proud (1)	5:9;6:12	10:1;11:13;13:6,14;	serve (1)	11:12
14:19	registered (1)	15:5,13,21;17:1,6,12,	22:20	Southern (3)
provide (2)	4:10	18,21,24;19:1,3,25;	service (1)	17:14;19:10;20:17
5:2;11:3	regs (2)	20:2,24;22:3;23:21	23:3	southwest (1)
providing (2)	20:24;21:8	right-of-way (1)	services (1)	9:19
4:20;7:17	regulations (1)	4:25	23:5	space (1)
Public (15)	20:21	Roa (1)	session (4)	15:15
3:7;4:2,3,5;7:5;8:16,	related (1)	20:12	10:4;18:12,13;21:8	specifications (2)
18;9:11;10:23;14:3;	8:2	Road (1)	seven (1)	6:5;8:2
21:18;23:10;24:5,13,	relations (1)	4:9	12:2	spending (1)
13	5:1	roast (1)	Seventeen (1)	15:7
published (1)	rendering (2)	22:14	9:14	square-foot (4)
21:1	9:11;18:4	Roll (1)	Shadow (3)	9:19;10:8,12,15
put (1)	Reno (3)	3:8	11:11,13;18:9	staff (14)
14:21	9:19,25;14:12	room (1)	shall (2)	5:2;11:9;12:6,17,18,
putting (1)	rental (1)	22:20	6:18,21	20,25;13:10,11,16,17;
Putting (1)		22.20	0.10,21	20,23,13.10,11,10,17,

November 1, 2017

9:12 summer (2) 15:22;16:12	told (2) 11:20;23:20 Total (1)	V	- 12:2;17:13,15,23; 23:10 yesterday (2)	25th (1) 8:19 27 (1)
summary (1)	16:8	20:24	years (5)	6:3
suggest (1) 18:8	9:16;14:19;24:6,8 together (1)	13:11 usually (1)	5:12;13:15;17:16; 20:23	11:10 24 (1)
8:13	today (4)	using(1)	year (4)	220,000-square-foot (1)
submit (1)	3:16	4:24;5:24	23;8:3	9:16
7:20	Tito (1)	uses (2)	5:6,23;6:3;7:14,16,	21 (1)
subject (1)	5:17	4:24;6:3	yard (7)	11:24
subdivision (2) 4:12;5:14	3:16,17;17:9 times (1)	22;13:4,5 used (2)	ľ	11:21 2022 (1)
8:9	Tiberti (3)	5:8,19;6:16,16;7:14,	Y	2019 (1)
subcommittee (1)	14:23	use (8)	14:3;21:18;23:10;24:5	19:22;20:4,25;21:12
20:16,18	thrilled (1)	9:6;11:5;13:19	3:7;8:18;9:11;10:24;	2018 (4)
structural (2)	11:22	Update (3)	Works (8)	12:3;21:8
16:20	three-year (1)	9:23,25;13:8;20:8	20:19	3:1,7;8:19;9:13;
22:6,8,9 strategy (1)	three-to-four (1) 18:23	6:17 up (4)	working (5) 16:23;17:20,20;19:6;	5:17;10:5 2017 (6)
stories (3)	19:23	unusual (1)	23:7	2016 (2)
6:21	5:4;10:4,6;18:14;	9:24;20:10,11	workers (1)	4:12
stored (1)	Three (5)	UNR (3)	16:1;19:9;20:17	200 (1)
6:18	10:14	18:4	work (3)	5:7
Storage (1)	6:21 third (1)	10:15,18;11:8,17,18;	6:14;23:23	2.99 (1)
19:15,16	6:21	21:19 UNLV (6)	15:12 words (2)	2(2) 3:1;4:2
still (5) 15:16;16:21,21;	4:13 therein (1)	unless (1) 21:19	within (1) 15:12	2 (2)
24:9	testimony (1)	17:8	13:18;17:8;21:2	2
14:15,16;22:6,10,21;	14:14	understands (1)	whole (3)	•
3:12,13;8:23,25;	terrific (1)	20:2	14:10,21,23	3:7
Stewart (10)	13:11	under (1)	Welcome (3)	1st (1)
23:10	terms (1)	-	19:14,15	11:7
stay (1)	13:12	U	week (2)	19th (1)
7:20	13:2 teach (1)	14:10,22	$- \frac{\textbf{WEDNESDAY}(1)}{3:1}$	18 (1) 15:25
11:16 State's (1)	task (1)	typically (2) 14:16,22	18:18;19:1 WEDNESDAV (1)	9:18 18 (1)
stated (1)	15:9	11:21	way (2)	17 (1)
24:5	talking (1)	two-year (1)	5:2,2,6,22,25	23:10
21:15;22:2,3;23:3,4;	14:11	20:1	water (5)	16 (1)
10:12,21,23;15:4;	talk (1)	7:12,24;17:12;18:23;	16:3;17:4,5,6	21:17;22:24
3:6;4:6,21;7:7;9:11;	12:22	two (5)	wasting (4)	15th (2)
State (15)	Tab (1)	20:7	6:19,22	14 (1) 12:23
starting (1) 17:3	Т	15:21 turnovers (1)	wall (2)	21:25;22:16 14 (1)
22:17	т	- trying (1)	W	11:30 (2)
15:4;20:24;21:4,4,11;	5:2,6,22	19:8		22:2
3:5;9:23;12:16,21;	system (3)	tremendous (1)	7:18	11:00 (1)
started (10)	13:10;14:15	13:17	visually-acceptable (1)	17:15,22
20:23	4.20 Susan (2)	trainings (1)	5:24	9.25 11 (2)
11:16,21;12:19; 15:18,22;16:2,19;	survey (1) 4:20	12:14,20;13:2,9,18; 20:7	5:3 visually (1)	102,000-square-foot (1) 9:25
start (8)	5:8	training (6)	Virginia (1)	6:19
6:17	surrounded (1)	13:19	3:11,13	100-percent (1)
standards (1)	10:7,19	trained (1)	Vice-Chair (2)	17:15,22
12:23	surprise (2)	13:12	9:25	10 (2)
staff-training (1)	22:11;23:15	train (1)	vets' (1)	21:25;22:3,16
staffing (2) 18:12;20:20	sure (5) 5:18;17:8;20:21;	toxic (1) 5:25	version (1) 4:17	3:8 1:30 (3)
	(5)	torrig (1)	manaian (1)	2.0

3 (1)		
8:17	9	
395 (1) 4:22	9.1-acre (1)	
4	11:11	
	9:00 (1) 3:5	
4 (1)	9:55 (1)	
9:6 4000 (1)	24:18 93 (1)	
7:10	9:13	
4100 (1) 4:9	93,000-square-foot (1) 9:23	
45 (1)	9.25	
21:14		
5		
5 (2)		
12:13,22		
50,000 (1) 10:15		
552 (1)		
10:21 570 (1)		
8:8		
570-linear (1) 5:4		
58,000 (1)		
9:19		
6		
6 (3)		
6:20;9:25;13:23 625 (1)		
11:11		
65,000 (1) 10:12		
6th (1)		
13:8		
7		
7 (1)		
24:1 7,000 (1)		
10:8		
75 (1) 9:15		
9:15 78,000-square-foot (1)		
9:21		
8		
9 (1)		
8 (1) 24:13 80703 (1)		
24:13 89703 (1)		